

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. 085449-0150 6374 10/507,506 Moritz Rossner 12/13/2004 **EXAMINER** 22428 7590 11/29/2006 FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP SWOPE, SHERIDAN SUITE 500 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007 1652

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· Y		Applie	cation No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/50	7,506	ROSSNER ET AL.	ROSSNER ET AL.	
		Exam	iner	Art Unit		
			lan L. Swope	1652		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears or	the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	iress	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn o period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In r nunication. atutory period will apply a will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN no event, however, may nd will expire SIX (6) Mile a application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this col ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)						
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	on of Claims		•			
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) 61-122 is/are pending in the application.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
-	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	_					
8) Claim(s) 61-122 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by th	e Evaminer				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	·	,				
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) 🛛 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:			
· 4			· — · -			

Application/Control Number: 10/507,506

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 61-122 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, Claims 61-85, 90, 92-94, drawn to a cellular method for detecting protein/protein binding using a reporter system.

Group II, Claims 86-88, drawn to a cellular method for detecting protein/protein binding by reconstituting protease activity.

Group III, Claims 89, drawn to a cellular method for detecting protein/protein binding using a reporter system that is regulated by a protease.

Group IV, Claims 91, drawn to a cellular method for detecting protein/protein binding using a reporter system whose cellular location is regulated by a protease.

Group V, Claims 95-106, drawn to a cellular method for detecting protein/protein disassociation using a reporter system.

Group VI, Claims 107, drawn to a method for identifying modulators of protein/protein association.

Group VII, Claims 108-115, drawn to a recombinant host cell.

Group VIII, Claims 116, drawn to a kit.

Group IX, Claims 117 and 120, drawn to a kit.

Group X, Claims 118 and 121, drawn to a kit.

Group XI, Claims 119 and 122, drawn to a kit.

Application/Control Number: 10/507,506

Art Unit: 1652

For each of Inventions I-XI above, restriction to one of the following is also required under 35 USC 121. Therefore, election is required of one of Inventions I-XI and one of Inventions (A)-(H), as indicated.

If Invention I is elected, elect one of:

- (A.) Recombinase
- (B.) Protease
- (C.) Recombinase and Protease

If Invention VIII is elected, elect one of:

- (D.) A recombinase
- (E.) A transcription factor
- (F.) A proteolytically responsive reporter
- (G.) A proteolytically responsive protease
- (H.) A protease gene under transcriptional control

The inventions listed as Groups I-XI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature for the following reasons: The technical feature linking Groups I- XI appears to be that they all relate to methods for detecting protein/protein interaction. However, methods for detecting protein/protein interaction were well known in the art. Moreover, Mitra et al, 1996 teach a cell comprising an expression vector having a proteolytically modulated reporter protein, which anticipates Claim 108. Therefore Groups I-XI share no special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, as it does not define a contribution over the prior art. Furthermore, the products of Groups VII-XI do not share a special common structural and functional feature while, the methods of Groups I-VI do not use the same reagents or produce the same results. In addition, the methods of Groups I-VI do not comprise all of the methods for making or using the products of Groups VII-XI. Accordingly, Groups I-XI are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept.

Searching more than one of Groups I-XI would represent a burden on the Office for the following reasons. Because the products of Groups VII-XI do not share a special structural and functional feature, a search for any one said product would not encompass a search for any other said products. Thus, a search for more than one of the products of Groups VII-XI would be a burden on the Office. A search for any one of the methods of Groups I-VI would not encompass a search for any other said methods because the methods do not share a special technical feature of steps and products used, or results produced. Thus, the search for more than one of Groups I-VI would be a burden on the Office. A search of any one of the products of Groups VII-XI would not encompass a search of any of the methods of Groups I-VI, or vice versa, because said methods are not the only methods of making or using said products. Thus, a search of any one of the products of Groups VII-XI with any of the methods of Groups I-VI would be a burden on the Office.

Application/Control Number: 10/507,506

Art Unit: 1652

These inventions lack Unity of Invention for the reasons given above. Furthermore, each invention has acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter and, thus, searching more than one invention would be a burden on the Office. Therefore, restriction for examination purposes, as indicated, is proper.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the Official Gazette notice dated March 26, 1996 (1184 O.G. 86; see also M.P.E.P. 821.04, *In re* Ochiai, and *In re* Brouwer). Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right, if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. To be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Final Comments

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

To insure that each document is properly filed in the electronic file wrapper, it is requested that each of amendments to the specification, amendments to the claims, Applicants' remarks, requests for extension of time, and any other distinct papers be submitted on separate pages.

It is also requested that Applicants identify support, within the original application, for any amendments to the claims and specification.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheridan L. Swope whose telephone number is 571-272-0943. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F; 9:30-7 EST.

Art Unit: 1652

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Achutamurthy can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published application may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on the access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sheridan Lee Swope, Ph.D. Art Unit 1656

SHERID**an Swope, Ph.D.** Primary examiner